SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:
Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:
This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:
Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:
For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements—that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.
A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:
   1321 N 185th Street

2. Name of applicant:
   PSW Real Estate, LLC

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:
   Alison Shane, Development Coordinator, (541) 848-1558
   Ben Rutkowski, Division President

4. Date checklist prepared:
   April 29, 2019

   Revised August 29, 2019

5. Agency requesting checklist:
   City of Shoreline

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):
   - Demolition of existing building – Winter 2019
   - Clearing and grading of site – Spring 2020
   - Building of new residential units – Summer 2020

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.
   No, there are no future plans for development at this time.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.
   - Geotechnical report prepared by Earth Solutions NW LLC. Dated November 7, 2018.
   - Drainage report prepared by Blueline. Dated May 1, 2019.
   - Historic properties report originally prepared by PSW Real Estate, LLC in October 2018 with additional research in December 2018 and finalized in January 2019 by The Johnson Partnership.
   - Arborist Tree Inventory prepared by Arbor Options, LLC. Dated September 21, 2018.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.
   There are no other applications pending for governmental approvals affecting the property covered by this proposal.
10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.
   - City of Shoreline – Demolition Permit
   - City of Shoreline – Multiple Buildings on One Lot: Site Development Permit
   - City of Shoreline – Right-of-way use
   - City of Shoreline – Mechanical Permit
   - City of Shoreline – Plumbing Permit
   - Ronald Wastewater District – Developer Extension
   - Seattle Public Utilities – Water Service Improvements

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

   This proposal is for the demolition of the single-family dwelling unit and five accessory buildings on the property. Following demolition, the applicant proposes to construct a 16-unit attached townhome residential development spread over six buildings with the installation of a driveway, utilities, and courtyards to support the development. The site encompasses 0.69 acres.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

   The subject property of the proposal is located at 1321 N. 185th Street in Shoreline, Washington. The parcel # is 727610-0025 and is at NE 1/4 of Section 7 Township 26 North Range 4 East.

B. Environmental Elements

1. Earth
   a. General description of the site: The site is rectangular shaped with 100 feet fronting on North 185th Street, and is 300 feet deep. It is heavily vegetated on the southern half (rear of lot).

   (circle one): ( ) flat, ( ) rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other ________

   b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

   0-20% slopes are present on site.

   The rear half of the lot slopes about 9° upward moving east to west.
c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

As per the Geotechnical Report dated November 7, 2018 and prepared by Earth Solutions Northwest LLC, the project site is underlain primarily by medium dense to very dense glacial till soils. Native soils consist primarily of silty sand with gravel, generally consistent with the typical makeup of Vashon till.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

There are no surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

For the clearing and grading of the site for new construction, approximately 785 cubic yards (CY) of existing onsite material will be cut and graded across the site needing to fill 640 cubic yards (CY) of area. Excess soils will be exported to an approved location.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Limited erosion could occur as a result of the initial construction.

EROSION CONTROL MEASURES ARE REQUIRED DURING CONSTRUCTION IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY CODES AND MANUALS.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Approximately 75% of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

A Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control (TESC) plan designed in accordance with City of Shoreline standards will be employed during the construction phase of the project.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Heavy equipment operation and worker's vehicles will generate exhaust emissions to the local air. Construction activity on site could also stir up exposed soils and generate dust into the local air. The completed project will result in a minor increase in the amount of exhaust related pollutants in the local air from project related traffic.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.
It is expected that any off-site sources of emissions or odor will not affect the proposal. Off-site sources of emissions include those as a result of manufacturing construction materials such as concrete, metal, glass, finish materials and adhesives.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:
Motor powered equipment used for the proposed project will be operated and maintained consistent with existing air emissions requirements and will be required to comply with federal, state, and local fuel and equipment regulations. Additionally, watering of the site as necessary during the construction phase of the project will help control dust and other particulates.

For the proposed demolition and earthwork operations, the applicant will comply with applicable Puget Sound Clean Air Agency regulations, including but not limited to:

- **Agency Regulation I:**
  - Article 8 – Outdoor Burning
  - Article 9 – Emission Control Standards, Sections 9.03, 9.11, and 9.15

- **Agency Regulation III:**
  - Article 4 – Asbestos Control Standards

3. **Water**

a. Surface Water:

   1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.
   
   There are no surface water bodies on or in the immediate vicinity of the site.

   2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.
   
   As there are no surface water bodies on, or in the immediate vicinity of the site, this question is not applicable.

   3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.
   
   With no surface water bodies on, or in the immediate vicinity of the site, fill and dredge material would not be utilized for this proposal.

   4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.
   
   As there are no surface water bodies on, or in the immediate vicinity of the site, this question is not applicable.

   5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.
The proposal is located in FEMA FIRM number 53033C0040F and does not lie within a 100-year floodplain.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. There is no proposed discharge of waste materials to surface waters.

b. Ground Water:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. As there is no well for drinking water present on the subject property, this question is not applicable.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve. No waste materials will be discharged into the ground.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Temporary Erosion & Sediment Control (TESC) measures will be in place during demolition and construction to ensure sediment-laden water does not leave the site. Sources of runoff include building roofs, driveways, sidewalks and patios. Stormwater runoff will be collected into an on-site vault and the overflow will be discharged to the city system along N. 185th Street.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. In accordance with City of Shoreline requirements, TESC and BMP measures will be implemented to prevent waste materials from entering the ground or surface waters during demolition and construction. Hydrocarbons from vehicles, herbicides, pesticides and fertilizer excess from landscape areas.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

No, the runoff will be treated in a proposed detention tank before discharging to the public conveyance system.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

Approved TESC BMP's will be provided in accordance with City standards to reduce and/or control water runoff impacts. Refer to the Drainage Report prepared by Blueline for additional information.

4. Plants
a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

- deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other: london plane, laurel, cherry
- evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other: cypress, spruce
- shrubs
- grass
  - pasture
  - crop or grain
  - Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
  - wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other
  - water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
  - other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

To achieve the site grade appropriate for the proposed buildings and infrastructure, most vegetation within the developed portion of the site will be removed.

24 TREES ARE PROPOSED FOR REMOVAL; 12 ARE PROPOSED FOR RETENTION.
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d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:
   The project will add vegetation as proposed by the City. Buffer plantings will utilize
   native plants in addition to other plants appropriate for the climate. This will provide
   some habitat for wildlife.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.
   European starlings and Norway rats are ubiquitous to developed areas within the
   Puget Sound basin and likely use areas near the site.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet
   the completed project’s energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating,
   manufacturing, etc.
   The construction equipment will be powered by diesel or gasoline engines. Electric
   and/or natural gas will be used to meet the energy needs for heating and cooling.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties?
   If so, generally describe.
   No, the applicant is not aware of any potential effect on the use of solar energy by
   adjacent properties.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal?
   List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:
   Fuel-efficient electrical and motorized equipment will be used to the extent possible
   as part of the proposed restoration and construction. The proposed buildings will be
   constructed to meet or exceed the applicable local, state, and/or federal building and
   energy codes to ensure compliance with energy conservation.

7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of
   fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If
   so, describe.
   This proposed project will not create any environmental health hazards, including
   exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste.

   1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.
      This historic single-family residence has no known contamination at the site from
      present or past uses.

   2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development
      and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines
      located within the project area and in the vicinity.
There are no known existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design including underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

There are no known existing hazardous chemicals being stored, used or produced on-site. Chemicals typically used in commercial construction such as paint and cleaning chemicals will be used, managed, and regulated under the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for this proposal.

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

No special emergency services will be required as a result of this proposed project activity.

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

State regulations regarding safe handling of hazardous materials will be enforced during the construction process. Any site-disturbing activities will, at a minimum, comply with the provisions of 29 CFR 1926 and WAC 296-155.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

The noise that currently exists in the vicinity from vehicular traffic would not have an impact on the proposal.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

On a short-term basis, construction activities will increase the peak on-site noise levels. All construction will occur during City of Shoreline approved hours of operation. The completed project would result in a slight increase in ambient noise levels in the vicinity.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Construction activity will be limited to hours as specified by City of Shoreline which will mitigate the impacts of potential construction noise. Furthermore, post construction, the development will conform to Shoreline Municipal Code (SMC) 9.05 – Noise Control.

8. Land and Shoreline Use
a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

\[ CL \]

The project site (zoned TC-4) and the adjacent parcels to the east and west are currently residential. To the north are nonresidential uses: a commercial mini-grocery and a church. The proposal will not affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

\[ CL \]

The project site has not been used as working farmlands or working forest land. No agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

\[ CL \]

The proposed project will not affect working farmlands or working forest land.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

\[ CL \]

The project site currently has one single-family home and five accessory storage buildings.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

\[ CL \]

Yes, the project proposal is for all six structures to be demolished.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

\[ CL \]

The project site is zoned TC-4 (Town Center).

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

\[ CL \]

The City’s comprehensive plan designation for this subject property is Town Center District.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

\[ CL \]

The subject property is outside the designations for shorelines. The site is two miles east of the Puget Sound, the only regulated shoreland in the city.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

\[ CL \]

No parts of this site have been classified as a critical area.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

\[ CL \]

Upon project completion, assuming an average of 2.5 people per townhome, approximately 40 people will reside on the subject property.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

\[ CL \]

The demolition of the single-family residence could displace one family.
k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:
   Sixteen new residential units will be added to the City.

L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:
   The proposal will be compliant with the TC-4 zone per SMC Title 20 – Development Code.

m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:
   There are no measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance as there are none present on site, adjacent or nearby subject property.

9. Housing

   a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
   Sixteen middle-income housing units will be provided.

   b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.
   One middle-income dwelling unit would be eliminated as a result of this proposal.

   c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:
   The project proposes to add 16 units of middle-income housing.

10. Aesthetics

   a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?
   The tallest height of the proposed structures will not exceed 35’, as this is the base height allowed for the TC-4 zone per SMC Table 20.50.020(1). The principal exterior building materials will meet the standards outlined in SMC 20.50.190.

   b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?
   No views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed. The site is heavily vegetated with mature trees, most of which will be removed. View will change from trees to three story buildings.

   c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:
   The proposed building design, design features, and building color will control aesthetic impacts. The proposal will comply with SMC Chapter 20.50 General Development Standards Subchapter 3 – Multifamily and Single-Family Attached Residential Design.

11. Light and Glare
a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?  
The completed project will generate limited light and glare as typically associated with townhome units.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?  
No, since light or glare will be limited as typically associated with townhome units, it will not be a safety hazard or interfere with views.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?  
Existing off-site sources of light or glare are not presumed to affect this proposal.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:  
Vegetation planted along the perimeter will help to prevent light and glare from impacting neighboring properties. Also, there will be no upward distribution of light.

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?  
Cromwell Park is located approximately .6 miles away, and Echo Lake Park is approximately .8 miles away from the project site. The Interurban Trail can be accessed approximately 500 feet from the project site.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.  
The proposed project will not displace any existing recreational uses.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:  
As the proposed project will not create any impact on any existing recreational uses, no measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation are proposed.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.  
The proposed project includes one residential home built in 1929 and several small accessory structures (one garage, four sheds) built in subsequent years. The residential home is identified as Number NE-012 in the Washington State Department of Archeology and Historic Preservation Historic Inventory Report (Shoreline Update of 2013) but not listed in national, state, or local preservation registers. The property in not located in a potential historic district.

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation?  
This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence,
artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

There are no landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation. No material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site was observed during field visits to the proposed project site.

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. Research was undertaken at Puget Sound Regional Archives, City of Shoreline Department of Planning & Community Development, the Shoreline Historical Society, the King County Permits department, and email correspondence with Harry and Glen Peifer (current owners of the building and sons of the original owner and builder). Research also included review of Internet resources, including HistoryLink.com and the Seattle Times digital archive, available through the Seattle Public Library.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required. As the subject property includes one residence that is included in the historic inventory but not listed in national, state, or local preservation registers, no measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources are proposed.

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. This property is served directly by N 185th Street and nearby by Stone Avenue North and Ashworth Avenue North. The property will continue to take access from N 185th St.

b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop? This property is served directly by Bus #348 and #373 and nearby by #301 and the E Line.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate? Upon completion, this proposed project would have 32 additional parking spaces. Approximately two spaces would be eliminated.

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). Right-of-way improvements are required along N 185th St and will consist of a new 8-foot sidewalk and a widened amenity zone along the northern property line.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.
The proposed project upon completion will not use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) any water, rail, or air transportation.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

The completed project will generate approximately 87 trips per day. Open source trip generation data from TripGeneration.org was used to make this estimate.
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g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

With the limits of this completed proposed project, there will be no interference with, affect, nor be affected by, the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

The applicant pay any required impact fees.

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

There will be a slight increase in need for public services due to the proposed increase in residents.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

Direct impact will be mitigated through the payment of any required impact fees.

16. Utilities

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:

- electricity
- natural gas
- water service
- refuse service
- telephone
- sanitary sewer
- septic system
- other

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

The completed project will be served by public water (Seattle Public Utilities), public sewer (Ronald Wastewater Management District), natural gas (PSE), electricity (Seattle City Light), refuse service (Waste Management), and communication facilities (phone and cable TV) based on availability in the area.
C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: [Signature]
Name of signee: Ben Rutkowski
Position and Agency/Organization: Division President, PSW
Date Submitted: 4/30/19