SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:
Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:
This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use “not applicable” or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:
Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:
For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

   2016 Comprehensive Plan Amendments.

2. Name of applicant:

   City of Shoreline
3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Steven Szafran, AICP, Senior Planner
sszafran@shorelinewa.gov (206) 801-2512

4. Date checklist prepared:

October 10, 2016

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Shoreline

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Planning Commission Study Session: November 3, 2016
City Council Study Session: November 28, 2016
City Council Adoption: December 12, 2016

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

Yes. The Comprehensive Plan Amendments included on the docket will provide policy direction for future plans and projects including the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan, Point Wells Subarea Plan, and the Transportation Master Plan.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

Final Environmental Impact Statement for the City of Shoreline Comprehensive Plan was issued 11/2/98 for the main body of related environmental analysis. Supplemental EIS's were issued for the 2005 Comprehensive Update as well as the 2012 Comprehensive Plan update. SEPA analysis was also conducted for the adoption of the Development Code 6/12/00, and subsequent non-exempt amendments to the Development Code.

Amendments related to Point Wells and the transportation policies around Richmond Beach Road, Richmond Beach Drive, and all other local, collector, and arterial roads potentially affected by the Point Wells development will rely on a number of documents including the Environmental Impact Statement prepared by BSRE and reviewed by Snohomish County, the Richmond Beach Corridor Study, and the supplemental EIS prepared in 2012 for the Comprehensive Plan update (the Transportation Element of the Comprehensive Plan).

Amendments to the Southeast Neighborhoods Subarea Plan (moving policies and amending the map boundaries) rely on the EIS Planned Action (Ordinance 752) prepared for the 145th Street Station Subarea Plan.

Some of the amendments on the docket for 2016 are recommended to be carried-over to the 2017 docket. The Environmental analysis for these amendments will be prepared at that time and not as part of this SEPA review. The amendments recommended to be carried-over are amendments 1, 2, and 3.
9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other
proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

    Yes. The Point Wells EIS is currently under review with Snohomish County. The outcomes of
    the EIS may or may not shape the policies in the City’s Point Wells Subarea Plan.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

    None Known

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size
    of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to
describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this
page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project
description.)

    The State Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A, limits consideration of proposed
    Comprehensive Plan amendments to no more than once a year. To ensure that the public
    can view the proposals within a concurrent, citywide context, the Growth Management Act
directs cities to create a docket that lists the amendments to be considered in this “once a
    year” review process. The 2016 Comprehensive Plan Docket includes:

    1. Amend the Comprehensive Plan for 145th Street annexation and all applicable maps.

    2. Consider amendments to the Point Wells Subarea Plan and other elements of the
       Comprehensive Plan that may have applicability to reflect the outcomes of the
       Richmond Beach Traffic Corridor Study as described in Policy PW-9. Based on the
       outcome of the corridor study, it is expected that proposed amendments would include
       text changes to the Subarea Plan discussing the study, increasing the vehicle trips per
       day from a 4,000 trip maximum as described in Policy PW-12 and adding identified
       mitigation projects and associated funding needed to raise the maximum daily trip count
       while maintaining adopted Levels of Service to the Capital Facilities Element. Also,
       consider amendments to the Comprehensive Plan that could result from the
       development of Interlocal Agreements as described in Policy PW-13.

    3. Consider amendments to the Comprehensive Plan that address the location of new park
       space within the light-rail station subareas, explore the establishment of a city-wide park
       impact fee, and determine a ratio of park space per new resident in the light-rail station
       subareas, and any other park issues that arise through the light-rail station subarea
       public process.

    4. Update Policy T44 to add Collector Arterials to the street classifications that have a LOS
       standard. The proposed amendment reads:
“Adopt a supplemental level of service for Principal Arterials, and Minor Arterials, and Collector Arterials that limits the volume to capacity (V/C) ratio to 0.90 or lower, provided the V/C ratio on any leg of a Principal, or Minor, or Collector Arterial intersection may be greater than 0.90 if the intersection operates at LOS D or better. These Level of Service standards apply throughout the city unless an alternative LOS standard is identified in the Transportation Element for intersections or road segments, where an alternate level of service has been adopted in a subarea plan, or for Principal, or Minor, or Collector Arterial segments where:

- Widening the roadway cross-section is not feasible, due to significant topographic constraints; or

- Rechannelization and safety improvements result in acceptable levels of increased congestion in light of the improved operational safety of the roadway. (Applicant: Save Richmond Beach).

5. Update Land Use Policies LU63, LU64, LU65, LU66, and LU67 by correcting references to the King County Countywide Planning Policies regarding the siting of essential Public Facilities.

6. Amend Point Wells Subarea Plan Policy PW-12 to read:

“In view of the fact that Richmond Beach Drive between NW 199th St. and NW 205th St. is a local road with no opportunities for alternative access to dozens of homes in Shoreline and Woodway, the City designates this as a local street with a maximum capacity of 4,000 vehicle trips per day. Unless and until 1) Snohomish County and/or the owner of the Point Wells Urban Center can provide to the City the Transportation Corridor Study and Mitigation Plan called for in Policy PW-9, and 2) sources of financing for necessary mitigation are committed, the City should not consider reclassifying this road segment. As a separate limitation in addition to the foregoing, the maximum number of vehicle trips a day entering the City’s road network from/to Point Wells shall not exceed the spare capacity of Richmond Beach Road west of 8th Ave NW under the City’s .90 V/C standard based on Richmond Beach Road being a 3-lane road (the .90 V/C standard may not be exceeded at any location west of 8th Ave NW along Richmond Beach Road). (Applicant: McCormick).

7. Amend the Southeast Neighborhoods Subarea Plan to move policies related to the 145 Street Station Subarea Plan, amend text, and amend the boarders of the Southeast Neighborhoods Subarea Plan to avoid overlap with the 145th Street Station Subarea Plan.
8. Adopt a volume to capacity ratio (V/C) ratio of 0.65 or lower for Richmond Beach Drive north of NW 196th Street, assuming a roadway capacity of 700 vehicles per hour per lane or less for an improved roadway consistent with pedestrian and bike standards and a V/C ratio not to exceed 0.90 on Richmond Beach Road, measured at any point, west of 8th Avenue NW assuming a three-lane roadway consistent with the City’s Transportation Master Plan and Capital Improvement Plan. The applicable V/C standards shall not be exceeded on either of these road segments.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The Comprehensive Plan Policies apply citywide except those amendments that apply specifically to Point Wells or the Southeast Neighborhoods Subarea.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth
   a. General description of the site:
      (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other treed, urban, paved, developed

   b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

      The City contains areas of slopes over 40 percent in some areas, especially on the western most and eastern most portions of the City.

   c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

      Recent geologic mapping of King County (Booth and Wisher, 2006) identifies the City as being underlain primarily by glacially derived or glacially overridden soils.

   d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.
Landslide hazard areas within the City of Shoreline occur predominantly along the western perimeter of the City, where the highlands descend to Puget Sound, or within steeply incised natural drainages, such as Boeing and McAleer Creeks.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

This proposal is not site specific.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

To address erosion and sedimentation impacts, grading and stormwater codes of agencies and municipalities require preparation of a SWPPP before grading permits are issued. Such plans are prepared based upon the requirements of the adopted Surface Water Design Manual. If the area of ground disturbance exceeds one acre, then a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit is also required. Projects seeking NPDES permit coverage typically conform to the conditions of the Department of Ecology’s (Ecology) Construction Stormwater General Permit (CSWGP), which include implementation of a SWPPP and protocols for monitoring site discharges for compliance with water quality standards.

Minimum requirements and best management practices (BMPs) for SWPPPs are established by the Washington State Department of Ecology in the Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington (Stormwater Manual; Ecology, 2012); municipalities typically adopt these minimum requirements and BMP design standards, or their equivalents, as part of their stormwater management requirements for site development. The City of Shoreline has adopted the Stormwater Manual and the Low Impact Technical Guidance Manual for Puget Sound (LID Manual; Washington State University and Puget Sound Partnership, 2012). The City also encourages the use of emerging technologies that are part of the Washington Department of Ecology’s Technology Assessment Protocol (TAPE). These BMPs, together with the erosion and sedimentation control BMPs of the Stormwater Manual, constitute the BAS for prevention of erosion and the treatment of sediment-laden runoff.

There are no proposed amendments that could cause increased erosion.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

This is a non-project action. The City has regulations about how much a particular site may be covered by buildings and hardscape. These regulations are adjusted based on the particular zoning of a parcel.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:
This is a non-project action.

2. Air
   a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during
congestion, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any,
generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

   This is a non-project action so this question does not apply. The City has regulations to
control the amount of emissions being released into the air. The City also tracks carbon
emissions which can be viewed at cityofshoreline.com.

   b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so,
genernally describe.

   This is a non-project action so this question does not apply.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

   This is a non-project action so this question does not apply. The City has a plan and
goals to become carbon neutral by 2050.

   The proposed amendments to the Point Wells Subarea Plan and amendments to
Transpiration Policy T-44 have the potential to reduce emissions into the air by limiting
the amount of vehicles travelling on certain roads.

   Amendment #4 will apply a Level-of-Service (LOS) standard for Collector Arterials that
limit the V/C ratio to 0.90 on any leg of a Principal, or Minor, or Collector Arterial
intersection may be greater than 0.90 if the intersection operates at LOS D or better.

   Amendment #6 has the potential to limit traffic on Richmond Beach Road, west of 8th
Avenue NW, be adding a limitation that vehicle trips shall not exceed the spare capacity
of Richmond Beach Road (based on Richmond Beach Road being a 3-lane road) and
the road shall not exceed the .90 V/C ratio at any location.

   Amendment #8 also limits the V/C standards on Richmond Beach Road, west of 8th
Avenue NW, assuming a three-land roadway consistent with the City's TMP and Capital
Improvement Plan.

3. Water
   a. Surface Water:

      1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including
year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe
type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

      This proposal is not site specific. The City of Shoreline has numerous streams, lakes,
ponds and wetlands within the city’s boundaries.

      2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described
waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.
3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

   Does not apply.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

   Does not apply.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

   Does not apply. Floodplain regulations are addressed in SMC 13.12.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

   Does not apply.

b. Ground Water:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

   Does not apply.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals... ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

   Does not apply.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

   Does not apply.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.
3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

Does not apply.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

Does not apply.

4. Plants
a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:
   - X deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
   - X evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
   - X shrubs
   - X grass
   - _____ pasture
   - _____ crop or grain
   - _____ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
   - X wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
   - X water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
   - X other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Does not apply.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Does not apply. The City of Shoreline is home to a number of priority species.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Does not apply.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Does not apply.

5. Animals
a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.
Examples include:

- birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
- mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
- fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other ________

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

6. **Energy and Natural Resources**

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

7. **Environmental Health**

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

This is a nonproject action.
Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

1) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

2) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

3) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

4) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.
b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?
This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

9. Housing
a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

10. Aesthetics
a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.
11. **Light and Glare**
   a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

   This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

   b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

   This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

   c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

   This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

   d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

   This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

12. **Recreation**
   a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

   This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

   b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

   Amendment #3 will consider amendments to the Comprehensive Plan that address the location of new park space within the light rail station subareas, explore the establishment of a city-wide park impact fee, and determine a ratio of park space per new resident in the light rail station subareas, and any other park issues that arise through the light-rail station subarea public process.

   Proposed amendments to any of the Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Goals and Policies will be considered through the PROS Plan update in 2017.

   c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

   This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

13. **Historic and cultural preservation**
   a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe.
This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

There are three amendments that may alter the City’s transportation system.

Amendment #4 will update Policy T44 to add Collector Arterials to the street classifications that have a LOS standard. The proposed amendment reads:

“Adopt a supplemental level of service for Principal Arterials, and Minor Arterials, and Collector Arterials that limits the volume to capacity (V/C) ratio to 0.90 or lower, provided the V/C ratio on any leg of a Principal, or Minor, or Collector Arterial intersection may be greater than 0.90 if the intersection operates at LOS D or better.

Amendment #6 would amend the Point Wells Subarea Area Plan Policy PW-12 to read, “as a separate limitation in addition to the foregoing, the maximum number of vehicle trips a day entering the City’s road network from/to Point Wells shall not exceed the spare capacity of Richmond Beach Road west of 8th Ave NW under the City’s .90 V/C standard based on Richmond Beach Road being a 3-lane road (the .90 V/C standard may not be exceeded at any location west of 8th Ave NW along Richmond Beach Road).
Amendment #8 would amend the Point Wells Subarea plan by adopting a volume to capacity ratio (V/C) ratio of 0.65 or lower for Richmond Beach Drive north of NW 196th Street, assuming a roadway capacity of 700 vehicles per hour per lane or less for an improved roadway consistent with pedestrian and bike standards and a V/C ratio not to exceed 0.90 on Richmond Beach Road, measured at any point, west of 8th Avenue NW assuming a three-lane roadway consistent with the City’s Transportation Master Plan and Capital Improvement Plan. The applicable V/C standards shall not be exceeded on either of these road segments.

b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. The City of Shoreline is served by Community Transit and Metro and provide many routes throughout the city.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

Amendment #4, adopting LOS and a V/C ratio of 0.9 for Collector Arterials, will require new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities that were not anticipated at the time the Transportation master Plan was updated in 2012 and when the City enacted the transportation Impact Fee Program.

There will be a number Collector Arterial streets, for example Linden Avenue North, that will need to be added to the City’s list of growth projects because the streets will not meet the proposed V/C ratio of 0.9.

Currently, the City anticipates that seven projects will be needed to accommodate the growth expected by 2030. The projects needed to accommodate growth will be funded, in part, through adoption of the City’s impact fee program. Adopting a V/C ratio of 0.9 for Collector Arterial streets will add more projects to the growth list without a funding mechanism to pay for the necessary improvements.

Another concern is the Collector Arterial streets identified for improvement may not be the streets the community wants to see improved. For example, Linden Avenue is a Collector Arterial street that may not meet the V/C ratio but the size and scale of the street is appropriate for the neighborhood. In order to meet the proposed adopted V/C ratio, the City may need to
widen the street, remove trees and other vegetation, and generally reconstruct the road which has the potential to transform the neighborhood.

Amendments 6, and 8 will require new improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities. These improvements are currently identified in the City’s Capital Improvement Program (CIP), Transportation Master Plan and the Point Wells Transportation Corridor Study.

The improvements identified in the TMP and Point Wells Corridor Study include:

- 15th Ave NW at Richmond Beach Road – This project will reconfigure the roadway alignment at the existing off-set intersection to improve operations and safety. One option for this intersection is a roundabout.
- NW Richmond Beach Road at 3rd Ave NW – This project will design and construct left-turn lanes on NW Richmond Beach Rd at the intersection with 3rd Ave NW and install signal modifications. The improvements will also include storm drainage, pavement widening, curb-and-gutter and sidewalks, retaining walls and street lighting.
- Construct bicycle lanes on Richmond Beach Road from 8th Avenue NW to Aurora Ave.
- Perform a corridor study in response to development proposals at Point Wells located in unincorporated Snohomish County and construct mitigation measures. Improvements may include intersection improvements, bicycle lanes, sidewalks, surface water management, landscaping and lighting.
- Construct sidewalks on the west and east sides of Richmond Beach Road.

If amendments #4, #6, and #8 were adopted by Council, staff will be required to study the impacts from these amendments as part of the update to the City’s Transportation master Plan in 2017/2018. The impacts of the proposed amendments and the associated mitigation of those amendments will be included in the analysis of the updated TMP. Also, adoption of Amendment #4 will require reevaluation of the City’s Transportation Impact Fee program in order to fund new projects added to the City’s growth projects identified in the TMP.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.
g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

16. Utilities

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:
   electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other ___________

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: ____________________________________________

Name of signee  Steven Szafran, AICP
Position and Agency/Organization  Senior Planner, City of Shoreline
Date Submitted:  October 17, 2016

D. supplemental sheet for nonproject actions

(IT IS NOT NECESSARY to use this sheet for project actions)
Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. **How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?**

   The proposed 2016 Comprehensive Plan amendments would be unlikely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise.

   Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

   The City will comply with the State Department of Ecology, Fish and Wildlife, and expert analysis when new construction occurs.

2. **How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?**

   The proposed 2016 Comprehensive Plan amendments would be unlikely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life.

   Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

   None proposed.

3. **How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?**

   The proposed 2016 Comprehensive Plan amendments will not deplete natural resources.

   Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

   None proposed.

4. **How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?**

   This proposal will not affect environmentally sensitive areas because no amendments to the Critical Areas Ordinance are proposed in this action.

   Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

   None proposed.

5. **How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?**
The City updated the Shoreline Management Program in 2012 and does not anticipate any changes.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

None proposed.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

This proposal will not increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

The City’s Transportation Master Plan lists growth projects, pedestrian, bicycle, and transit improvements throughout the city and specifically improvements to Richmond Beach Road.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

This proposal will not conflict with local, state, or federal laws.