SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision-making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

   2017 Comprehensive Plan Amendments.

2. Name of applicant:

   City of Shoreline

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Steven Szafran, AICP, Senior Planner
sszafran@shorelinewa.gov (206) 801-2512

4. Date checklist prepared:

August 30, 2017

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Shoreline

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Planning Commission Public Hearing: September 21, 2017.
City Council Study Session: October 23, 2017
City Council Adoption: November 13, 2017

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

No.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

Final Environmental Impact Statement for the City of Shoreline Comprehensive Plan was issued 11/2/98 for the main body of related environmental analysis. Supplemental EIS's were issued for the 2005 Comprehensive Update as well as the 2012 Comprehensive Plan update. SEPA analysis was also conducted for the adoption of the Development Code 6/12/00, and subsequent non-exempt amendments to the Development Code.

Amendments related to Point Wells and the transportation policies around Richmond Beach Road, Richmond Beach Drive, and all other local, collector, and arterial roads potentially affected by the Point Wells development will rely on a number of documents including the Environmental Impact Statement prepared by BSRE and reviewed by Snohomish County, the Richmond Beach Corridor Study, and the supplemental EIS prepared in 2012 for the Comprehensive Plan update (the Transportation Element of the Comprehensive Plan).

Some of the amendments on the docket for 2017 may be recommended to be carried-over to the 2018 docket. The Environmental analysis for these amendments will be prepared at that time and not as part of this SEPA review. The amendments recommended to be carried-over to 2018 from 2017 are amendments 1, 2 and 4

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

Yes. The Point Wells EIS is currently under review with Snohomish County. The outcomes of the EIS may or may not shape the policies in the City's Point Wells Subarea Plan.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

None Known

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The State Growth Management Act, RCW 36.70A, limits consideration of proposed Comprehensive Plan amendments to no more than once a year. To ensure that the public can view the proposals within a concurrent, citywide context, the Growth Management Act directs cities to create a docket that lists the amendments to be considered in this yearly review process. The 2017 Comprehensive Plan Docket includes:

1. Amend the Comprehensive Plan for 145th Street annexation and all applicable maps.

This amendment will amend Policy LU47 which states, "Consider annexation of 145th Street adjacent to the existing southern border of the City". The City is currently engaged in the 145th Street Corridor Study and is working towards annexation of 145th Street.

There are some maps contained in the Comprehensive Plan that do not include 145th Street. If the City annexes 145th Street, all of the maps in the Comprehensive must be amended to include 145th Street as a street within the City of Shoreline.

2. Consider amendments to the Point Wells Subarea Plan and other elements of the Comprehensive Plan that may have applicability to reflect the outcomes of the Richmond Beach Transportation Corridor Study as described in Policy PW-9. Also, consider amendments to the Comprehensive Plan that could result from the development of Interlocal Agreements as described in Policy PW-13.

The City anticipated that the Transportation Corridor Study on mitigating adverse impacts from BSRE's proposed development of Point Wells would be completed in 2017. Delays in Snohomish County's review of BSRE's Draft Environmental Impact Statement have delayed the City's review of the DEIS and the completion of the Richmond Beach Traffic Corridor Study as described in the Point Wells Subarea Plan Policy PW-12. Therefore, staff recommends that the same Comprehensive Plan amendment be docketed in 2018.

3. Consider amendments to the Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Element Goals and Policies and update of the Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Master Plan.

The PROS Plan establishes a 20-year vision and framework for Shoreline's recreation and cultural programs, and guides maintenance and investment in park, recreation and open space facilities. The PROS Plan includes goals and policies that provide direction for PRCS. In addition, the City has created a Light Rail Station Subarea Parks and Open Space Opportunity Map to help inform the analysis for future demand and needs of facilities and services, and a Park Impact Fee Methodology to meet the policies of the Comprehensive Plan as part of this PROS Plan update.

4. Consider amendments to the Capital Facilities Element Goals and Policies and update of the Surface Water Master Plan.

The Surface Water Master Plan is a long-range document that establishes the management strategy for the Surface Water Utility to help meet the established Level-of-Service goals and permit requirements. It also includes the development of both a financial and policy process for the Utility to implement the strategy.

The Surface Water Utility provides stormwater, water quality, and environmental services to the residents of Shoreline. The Utility is funded through the Surface Water Utility Fund, which generates revenue through annual Surface Water management fees. The Utility provides for capital improvements and operational activities that reduce flooding and drainage issues, water quality programs to meet the NPDES Phase II permit requirements, as well as stream and wetland enhancement within the City.

5. Consider amendments to the Master Street Plan of the Transportation Master Plan.

This amendment will amend the Master Street Plan within the Transportation Master Plan to include the consideration of amenity zones on bridges and identify appropriate design elements within amenity zones along bridges.

6. 185th Street Station Subarea Plan – Delete duplicate utility policy; "Consider the use of alternative energy in all new government facilities".

The 185th Street Station Subarea Plan was adopted in March 2015. The Subarea Plan contains Land Use, Transportation, Community Design, Economic Development, Utilities, Parks, Recreation and Open Space, Natural Environment, and Housing policies. One of the Utility policies, "Consider the use of alternative energy in all new government facilities", was inadvertently duplicated.

7. Change Ronald Wastewater District to City of Shoreline throughout the Comprehensive Plan as the City's wastewater provider.

On October 22, 2002 the City and Ronald Wastewater District entered into a 15-year Interlocal

Agreement in regards to provision of sanitary sewer services. At the end of this 15 year term, the mutual goal was for the City to fully assume the entirety of the Ronald Wastewater District.

8. Update the Comprehensive Plan by amending the Capital Facilities Element to incorporate by reference the Shoreline Fire District's Capital Facilities and Equipment Plan so as to support the imposition of fire impact fees as authorized by RCW 82.02.

The Shoreline Fire Department has completed the 2018-2037 Capital Facilities & Equipment Plan. The Plan reflects the need to prepare long-term capital project plans to appropriately identify future needs and the financial means to support those projects. The purpose of the CIP document is to identify the capital resources necessary for the Shoreline Fire Department (SFD) to appropriately address current and future service delivery model needs for our community. SFD's intent is to sustain adequate levels of service consistent with the adopted service standards and the Land Use elements of the Shoreline Comprehensive Plan. The goal of this plan is to forecast the next 20 years of capital project needs and establish an achievable six year funding plan that incrementally provides the resources necessary to maintain adequate service delivery prior to or concurrently with the impacts of development.

The City of Shoreline is already experiencing growth as the area emerges from the recession, which is expected to increase significantly in the near future. Generally, the entire King County region is seeing rapid development, but in Shoreline this will be augmented by the two light rail stations to be constructed over the next four years. As a result it is expected that there will be aggressive redevelopment of lower density properties to higher and better use. The result will be higher density commercial and multi-family residential development, which will include larger and taller structures that integrate mixed uses. These types of developments will require additional resources and specialized equipment for the delivery of adequate fire and rescue services.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The City of Shoreline is proposing amendments to the Shoreline Comprehensive Plan that apply citywide. The amendments that apply citywide are: 3. Consider amendments to the Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Element Goals and Policies and update of the Parks, Recreation, and Open Space Master Plan, 4. Consider amendments to the Capital Facilities Element Goals and Policies and update of the Surface Water Master Plan, 5. Consider amendments to the Master Street Plan of the Transportation Master Plan, 7. Change Ronald Wastewater District to City of Shoreline throughout the Comprehensive Plan as the City's

wastewater provider, and 8. Update the Comprehensive Plan by amending the Capital Facilities Element to incorporate by reference the Shoreline Fire District's Capital Facilities and Equipment Plan so as to support the imposition of fire impact fees as authorized by RCW 82.02.

The amendments that apply to specific subareas are: 1. Amend the Comprehensive Plan for 145th Street annexation and all applicable maps, 2. Consider amendments to the Point Wells Subarea Plan and other elements of the Comprehensive Plan that may have applicability to reflect the outcomes of the Richmond Beach Transportation Corridor Study as described in Policy PW-9. Also, consider amendments to the Comprehensive Plan that could result from the development of Interlocal Agreements as described in Policy PW-13, and 6. 185th Street Station Subarea Plan – Delete duplicate utility policy; "Consider the use of alternative energy in all new government facilities".

The PROS Plan study area consists of all incorporated land within the City of Shoreline. The document includes a map of all park and open space land that is owned by the City of Shoreline, Shoreline School District and other publicly owned properties in the City of Shoreline.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS

1. Earth

a. General description of the site:

(circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other treed, urban, paved, developed

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The City contains areas of slopes over 40 percent in some areas, especially on the western most and eastern most portions of the City.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Recent geologic mapping of King County (Booth and Wisher, 2006) identifies the City as being underlain primarily by glacially derived or glacially overridden soils.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Landslide hazard areas within the City of Shoreline occur predominantly along the western perimeter of the City, where the highlands descend to Puget Sound, or within steeply incised natural drainages, such as Boeing and McAleer Creeks.

e. **Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.**

This proposal is not site specific.

f. **Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.**

To address erosion and sedimentation impacts, grading and stormwater codes of agencies and municipalities require preparation of a SWPPP before grading permits are issued. Such plans are prepared based upon the requirements of the adopted Surface Water Design Manual. If the area of ground disturbance exceeds one acre, then a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit is also required. Projects seeking NPDES permit coverage typically conform to the conditions of the Department of Ecology's (Ecology) Construction Stormwater General Permit (CSWGP), which include implementation of a SWPPP and protocols for monitoring site discharges for compliance with water quality standards.

Minimum requirements and best management practices (BMPs) for SWPPP s are established by the Washington State Department of Ecology in the *Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington* (Stormwater Manual; Ecology, 2012); municipalities typically adopt these minimum requirements and BMP design standards, or their equivalents, as part of their stormwater management requirements for site development. The City of Shoreline has adopted the Stormwater Manual and the *Low Impact Technical Guidance Manual for Puget Sound* (LID Manual; Washington State University and Puget Sound Partnership, 2012). The City also encourages the use of emerging technologies that are part of the Washington Department of Ecology's Technology Assessment Protocol (TAPE). These BMPs, together with the erosion and sedimentation control BMPs of the Stormwater Manual, constitute the BAS for prevention of erosion and the treatment of sediment-laden runoff.

It should be noted that the PROS Plan includes property where clearing activities may occur on all soils found within the City, including specific restrictive development standards pertaining to areas with highly erosive soils. Development standards and requirements contained or incorporated by reference in the Development Code, SMC 20.80.010-500, and the Engineering Development Guide would mitigate probable significant adverse environmental impacts associated with development under the code. In those circumstances where additional site specific conditions warrant additional protections may be employed using SEPA substantive authority.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

This is a non-project action. The City has regulations about how much a particular site may be covered by buildings and hardscape. These regulations are adjusted based on the particular zoning of a parcel.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

This is a non-project action.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

This is a non-project action so this question does not apply. The City has regulations to control the amount of emissions being released into the air. The City also tracks carbon emissions which can be viewed at cityofshoreline.com.

Note that the Development Code and Engineering Development Guide together with state and federal law would mitigate probable significant adverse impacts associated with development that is identified in the PROS Plan. Please also see the EIS for the Shoreline Comprehensive Plan for information about air quality existing conditions and the Final EIS.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

This is a non-project action so this question does not apply.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

This is a non-project action so this question does not apply. The City has a plan and goals to become carbon neutral by 2050.

The proposed amendments to the Point Wells Subarea Plan have the potential to reduce emissions into the air by limiting the amount of vehicles travelling on certain roads.

3. **Water**

a. Surface Water:

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

This proposal is not site specific. The City of Shoreline has numerous streams, lakes, ponds and wetlands within the city's boundaries.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Does not apply.

It should be noted that future activities on sites containing water features such as streams, wetlands, lakes and their floodplains are subject to critical area regulations SMC 20.80.010-500. The Critical Areas Ordinance was revised in 2015.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

Does not apply.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Does not apply. Any diversion requests would have to meet those requirements as well as any mitigation placed on the proposal through SEPA substantive authority or by the state through conditioning of the required Hydraulics Permit Approval (HPA).

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Does not apply. Floodplain regulations are addressed in SMC 13.12.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

Does not apply. Please note that the discharge of waste materials to surface waters is regulated by state and federal law, as well as the Development Code SMC 20.80 (Critical Areas Ordinance).

b. Ground Water:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Does not apply. It should be noted that ground water withdrawals and discharges are regulated by state and federal law, as well as the Development Code SMC 20.80(Critical Areas Ordinance).

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the

following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

Does not apply.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Does not apply.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.
Does not apply. It should be noted that the Development Code SMC 20.80 (Critical Areas Ordinance) contains regulations for buffers around surface waters and adopts best management practices to prevent waste materials from entering those waters. For additional information about impacts and mitigation associated with development that would be reviewed under the Development Code, please see the EIS for the Comprehensive Plan.

3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

Does not apply. It should be noted that the PROS Plan may identify future projects that would have to meet development regulations. See SMC 20.60.060-130 adequacy of public facilities—surface and stormwater management.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

Does not apply.

4. **Plants**

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

X deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
X evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
X shrubs
X grass
____pasture
____crop or grain
____ Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
X wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other
X water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

X other types of vegetation

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Does not apply. Note that the Development Code SMC 20.50.290-370 and 20.80.010-500 contain regulations that limit vegetation removal in critical areas and buffers and additional requirements for tree retention and planting on all lands.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Does not apply. The City of Shoreline is home to a number of priority species.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Does not apply.

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

Does not apply.

5. **Animals**

a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Examples include:

birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other _____

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. Most of Western Washington lies in the Pacific Flyway for migratory birds. Some portions of the City (primarily riparian corridors) are thought to serve as local migration routes.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. The PROS Plan contains goals and policies that promote the preservation of vegetation and wildlife habitat. Please note that the

Development Code SMC Title 20 contains regulations related to tree retention and planting, stormwater control, and subdivision standards. These regulations help preserve and enhance wildlife found within the City by helping to reduce the negative effects associated with land development through use prohibitions, development standards, and mitigation requirements. SMC 20.80 includes standards to protect Fish and Wildlife Conservation areas which provide opportunities for food, cover, nesting, breeding, and movement for fish and wildlife within the City. Where site specific conditions warrant, the City shall use SEPA substantive authority to condition or deny development based on probable significant environmental impacts.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

6. **Energy and Natural Resources**

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

7. **Environmental Health**

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

This is a nonproject action.

Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.
This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

1) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

2) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

3) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

4) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. Shoreline Municipal Code Chapter 9.05 Public Disturbance Noise. Development Code contains restrictions on operating hours for construction activities within the City.

8. **Land and Shoreline Use**

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated,

how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. Note, the PROS Plan contains some properties that include structures including civic buildings, recreation centers, a pool, caretaker residences, visitor centers, maintenance buildings, restrooms, pavilions, picnic shelters, picnic tables, benches, bleachers, baseball backstops and fencing, public artworks, lights, kiosks, signs and bollards.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. The PROS Plan may identify capital project(s) in the 20-year Implementation Plan that will be repaired, replaced and or demolished which would have to meet development regulations.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. The PROS Plan contains several properties that fall within the Shoreline Master Program and will be required to meet the Shoreline Master Program code requirements if developed in the future.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

L. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

m. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with nearby agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

9. **Housing**

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

10. **Aesthetics**

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

11. **Light and Glare**

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. The PROS Plan may contain long term recommendations for sites to include outdoor recreational lighting for fields and other active recreation areas. These recommendations would require another project-specific SEPA checklist and determination.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

12. **Recreation**

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. The PROS Plan contains goals and policies to assure that recreational opportunities exist now and in the future.

13. **Historic and cultural preservation**

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers located on or near the site? If so, specifically describe.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. Impacts to historic properties would be considered at time of permit application. City currently has an interlocal agreement with the King County and the King County Landmarks and Heritage Commission to provide historic preservation services for the City. See Shoreline Ordinance #53. No changes are proposed to existing Historic Landmarks program, regulations, or listing adopted from King County and administered under interlocal agreement with King County. The Shoreline Historical Museum has an archive that includes information about historic structures in Shoreline. The PROS Plan does not recommend any impacts to historical properties.

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. Impacts to landmarks, features or Indian occupied sites would be considered at time of permit application. The Shoreline Historical Museum has an archive that includes information about landmarks, features and culturally significant sites in Shoreline.

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc. [h

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. Impacts to cultural and historic resources would be considered at time of permit application. The Shoreline Historical Museum has an archive that includes information about cultural and historic resources in Shoreline.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

14. **Transportation**

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. The City of Shoreline is served by Community Transit and Metro and provide many routes throughout the city.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. Amendments to the Master Street Plan of the Transportation Master Plan will allow amenity zones on bridges to be modified. All future improvements to Shoreline streets will be subject to right-of-way permits and may require State and County approval.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

15. **Public Services**

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

Amendment #8 will amend the Capital Facilities Element by adopting the Shoreline Fire Department's Capital Facilities and Equipment Plan. The Plan the reflects the need to

prepare long-term capital project plans to appropriately identify future needs and the financial means to support those projects. The recession virtually eliminated any reserved capital funds and brings into sharp contrast the benefits of looking at the life cycles of all our needs and developing revenue sources for them. The likely solution is that not any one source will provided the funds necessary to sustain these projects, but rather that it will be a combination of sources. The original goal of this document was to establish a plan toward replacing the aged and dysfunctional Station 63 and then to look long-term at our needs of building a functional Station 62. This plan has been approved by the Board of Commissioners and will be evaluated on an annual basis. The Plan reflect a strategic, responsible, and cost conscious compromise reflective of current and future needs.

The Plan demonstrates the need for additional equipment and facilities which will over all provide better response times for the citizens of Shoreline.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply. The SFD Plan will provide the delivery of fire and rescue services. The delivery of these services ideally originates from properly staffed and equipped fire stations located throughout the service area. To provide effective service, firefighters must respond in a minimal amount of time after the incident has been reported and with sufficient resources to initiate meaningful fire, rescue, or emergency medical services. The LOS Policy identifies desired level of service measures and current performance in attaining those measures.

16. **Utilities**

a. Circle utilities currently available at the site:
electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other _____

This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

c. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.
This is a nonproject action. Does not apply.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: _____

Name of signee Steven Szafran, AICP

Position and Agency/Organization Senior Planner, City of Shoreline

Date Submitted: August 30, 2017

D. supplemental sheet for nonproject actions

(**IT IS NOT NECESSARY** to use this sheet for project actions)

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The proposed 2017 Comprehensive Plan amendments would be unlikely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

The City will comply with the State Department of Ecology, Fish and Wildlife, and expert analysis when new construction occurs.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

The proposed 2017 Comprehensive Plan amendments would be unlikely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

None proposed.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The proposed 2017 Comprehensive Plan amendments will not deplete natural resources.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

None proposed.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The proposed 2017 Comprehensive Plan Amendments will not negatively affect environmentally sensitive areas. The Pros Plan identifies goals and policies to provide recreation opportunities in

new and existing parks. The parks in Shoreline do contain environmentally sensitive areas such as wetlands, streams, and geologic hazard areas. Park activities and maintenance are subject to compliance with the City's Critical Areas Ordinance and the PROS Plan is not exempt from compliance with that code.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

Any new development proposed by the Parks Department is subject to compliance with the City's Critical Areas Ordinance (SMC 20.80).

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The City updated the Shoreline Management Program in 2012 and does not anticipate any changes. The PROS Plan identifies existing parks that include shoreline use. Those parks will continue to operate into the future.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

Any new activities proposed by the Parks Department are subject to the City's Shoreline Master Program (20.200) and the City's Critical Areas Ordinance (20.80).

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

This proposal will not increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

The City's Transportation Master Plan lists growth projects, pedestrian, bicycle, and transit improvements throughout the city.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

This proposal will not conflict with local, state, or federal laws.